Table 2 Estimates of Late Hire Status for Logit Models of Labor Market Outcomes After Two Years

		Labor Market Fixed Effects		ool Effects	
	Estimate	(s.e.)	Estimate	(s.e.) ^a	
Left Teaching in Michigan					
Teacher was hired late	0.115	(0.181)	-0.159	(0.335)	
Observations	533	5383		05	
Number of groups	13	13		26	
Moved Schools in Michigan	,	•	•	-	
Teacher was hired late	-0.228	(0.173)	-0.255	(0.254)	
Observations	54	5418		1421	
Number of groups	14	14		283	
Left Their School	,		•		
Teacher was hired late	-0.081	(0.131)	-0.287	(0.212)	
Observations	54	5418		39	
Number of groups	14	14		442	

NOTE: Additional time, school, and eacher variables are included in all models when appropriate but not hown. Asterisks indicate that the estimated coefficient is significantly lifferent than zero. **p<0.01.

⁺p<0.10. *p<0.05.

***p<0.001

Table 3 Estimates of Late Hire Status for Logit Models of Labor Market Outcomes After One Year: Restricted Sample

	Labor Market Fixed Effects		School Fixed Effects			
	Estimate	(s.e.)	Estimate	(s.e.) ^a		
Left Teaching in Michigan						
Teacher was hired late	0.737 *	(0.284)	0.489	(0.353)		
Observations	23	2376		740		
Number of groups	1	11		108		
Moved Schools in Michigan						
Teacher was hired late	0.855 ***	(0.208)	1.200 ***	(0.342)		
Observations	237	2376		1010		
Number of groups	1.	11		184		
Left Their School						
Teacher was hired late	0.961 ***	(0.183)	1.010 ***	(0.273)		
Observations	237	2376		1402		
Number of groups	1.	11		252		

NOTE: Additional time, school, and teacher variables are included in all models when appropriate but not shown. Asterisks indicate that the estimated coefficient is significantly different than zero. +p<0.10. *p<0.05. **p<0.01. ***p<0.001

^a Standard errors adjusted for clustering at the district level

a Standard errors adjusted for clustering at the district level.

Table 1 Selected Estimates for Logit Models of Having Left Teaching in Michigan or Moved Schools After One Year

	Left Teaching in Michigan				Moved Schools			
	Labor Market Fixed Effects		School Fixed Effects		Labor Market Fixed Effects		School Fixed Effects	
	Estimate	(s.e.)	Estimate	(s.e.) ^a	Estimate	(s.e.)	Estimate	(s.e.) ^a
Teacher was hired late	0.577 ***	(0.124)	0.573 **	(0.171)	0.399 ***	(0.100)	0.461 **	(0.149)
Teacher Characteristics								
Female	-0.013	(0.109)	-0.061	(0.126)	0.056	(0.087)	0.211	(0.110)
Age (10s)	0.380 ***	(0.057)	0.466 ***	(0.082)	-0.054	(0.052)	-0.146 *	(0.072)
Fulltime	- 0.577 ***	(0.133)	-0.318 $^{+}$	(0.189)	-0.793 ***	(0.103)	- 1.002 ***	(0.181)
Multiple subjects	-0.392 **	(0.142)	-0.125	(0.183)	0.194 **	(0.106)	0.073	(0.153)
Non-match: White teacher	-0.198	(0.309)	0.120	(0.264)	-0.292	(0.254)	-0.410^{+}	(0.221)
Non-match: Non-white teacher	-0.154	(0.248)	0.031	(0.323)	-0.115	(0.180)	0.107	(0.268)
School Characteristics								
Mean of standardized ach.	0.098	(0.095)	0.098	(0.343)	-0.077	(0.073)	0.493 $^{+}$	(0.258)
% Non-white (10s)	-0.028	(0.031)	-0.047	(0.222)	0.017	(0.023)	0.363 **	(0.113)
% Free/reduced lunch (10s)	0.117 **	(0.045)	-0.007 **	(0.102)	0.055	(0.034)	-0.333	(0.292)
% Teachers w/ prof. license (10s)	0.066	(0.045)	0.189	(0.173)	0.091 **	(0.033)	0.049	(0.144)
Number of teachers	0.000	(0.004)	0.046 $^{+}$	(0.027)	-0.002	(0.004)	0.080 ***	(0.022)
Middle	0.458 *	(0.220)			-0.071 *	(0.171)		
High	0.715 **	(0.239)			- 0.637 **	(0.191)		
Other	0.766 *	(0.313)			0.376	(0.283)		
City	-0.249	(0.184)			0.373 **	(0.138)		
Suburb	-0.164	(0.136)			0.215 *	(0.107)		
Town	-0.213	(0.188)			-0.136	(0.152)		
District Characteristics								
Number of students (1000s)	0.004 *	(0.002)	-0.014 $^{+}$	(0.008)	0.002 *	(0.002)	0.043 ***	(0.010)
% Students with FRL (10s)	0.069	(0.057)	-0.128	(0.264)	0.022	(0.043)	-0.290^{+}	(0.175)
Observations	7183		2192		7183		3114	
Number of groups	14		371		14		574	
Psuedo R ²	0.064	0.0642 0.0725		.5	0.0495		0.0664	

NOTE: Additional time, school, and teacher variables are included in all models when appropriate but not shown.

Jones, N., Maier, A., Grogan, E. & Schneider, B. (2011, March). The extent of late hiring and its relationship with teacher turnover: Evidence from Michigan. Presentation at the annual meeting of the Society for Research on Educational Effectiveness

 $^{^+}p$ <0.10. *p <0.05. **p <0.01. ***p <0.001 a Standard errors adjusted for clustering at the district level.